

# Stage I: Rule-Out Dashboard

## Incidental Findings in Adults

GENE/GENE PANEL: *BRCA1, BRCA2*

HGNC ID: 1100, 1101

DISORDER: Hereditary Breast and Ovarian Cancer

OMIM ID: 604370, 612555

### ACTIONABILITY

1. Is there a qualifying resource, such as a practice guideline or systematic review, for the genetic condition?

YES

NO

2. Does the practice guideline or systematic review indicate that the result is actionable in one or more of the following ways?

Yes

No

Patient Management

Surveillance or Screening

Family Management

Circumstances to Avoid

YES ( $\geq 1$  of above)  NO

3. Is the result actionable in an undiagnosed adult with the genetic condition?

YES

NO

### PENETRANCE

4. Is there at least one known pathogenic variant with at least moderate penetrance ( $\geq 40\%$ ) or moderate relative risk ( $\geq 2$ ) in any population?

YES

NO

### SIGNIFICANCE/BURDEN OF DISEASE

5. Is this condition an important health problem?

YES

NO

### NEXT STEPS

6. Are Actionability (Q2-3), Penetrance (Q4), and Significance (Q5) all "YES"?

YES (Proceed to Stage II)

NO (Consult Actionability Working Group)

Exception granted, proceed to Stage II

Exception not granted, STOP

# Stage II: Summary Report

## Incidental Findings in Adults

Non-diagnostic, excludes newborn screening & prenatal testing/screening

GENE/GENE PANEL: <i>BRCA1, BRCA2</i>		DISORDER: Hereditary Breast and Ovarian Cancer	
Topic	Narrative Description of Evidence	Ref	
<b>1. What is the nature of the threat to health for an individual carrying a deleterious allele?</b>			
Prevalence of the genetic disorder	For women, lifetime risk estimates indicate that 12.3% will develop breast cancer and 2.8% will die from it, while 1.4% will develop ovarian cancer and 1% will die from it. Among these breast and ovarian cancer cases, <i>BRCA</i> mutations have a prevalence of 3% and 10%, respectively.	(1;2)	
Signif/Burden of Condition	Clinical Features (Signs/symptoms)	<i>BRCA1</i> and <i>BRCA2</i> mutations are associated with increased risks of breast, ovarian, fallopian tube, and primary peritoneal cancers in women. These mutations are also associated with breast cancer and, to a lesser extent, prostate cancer, in males. Both sexes may also be at an increased risk of pancreatic cancer. <i>BRCA</i> mutations are associated with a strong family history of breast and ovarian cancers and are found more frequently in the Ashkenazi Jewish population.	(1;3)
	Natural History (Important subgroups & survival/recovery)	<i>BRCA</i> -related breast and ovarian cancers occur at a younger age, typically prior to age 50, and breast cancers are more likely to be "triple-negative" (i.e. estrogen and progesterone receptor and HER2 negative). Compared to non-carriers with breast cancer, <i>BRCA1</i> mutation carriers have significantly decreased overall survival rates both in the short- and long-term, though similar association was not detected for <i>BRCA2</i> mutation carriers.	(1;3)
<b>2. How effective are interventions for preventing the harm?</b>			
Patient Management	Chemoprevention medications (e.g. tamoxifen, raloxifene) have been shown to reduce the incidence of breast cancer in high-risk women in the general population, but their effectiveness has not been assessed in the context of <i>BRCA</i> mutations. <b>(Tier 1)</b>	(1;4;5)	
	Prophylactic surgery (e.g. bilateral mastectomy or salpingo-oophorectomy) has been shown to substantially reduce the risk for breast or ovarian cancer in both high-risk women and those who are <i>BRCA</i> mutation carriers. Breast cancer risk was reduced by 85-100% with mastectomy and by 37% to 100% with oophorectomy. Ovarian cancer risk was reduced by 69-100% with oophorectomy. Breast cancer-specific mortality was reduced by 81-100% after mastectomy and all-cause mortality was reduced by 55-100% after oophorectomy. <b>(Tier 1)</b>	(1;4-6)	
Surveillance	More frequent and intensive breast cancer screening, including clinical breast exams, mammography, and MRI starting at age 25. However, no screening methods have been shown to be effective at reducing breast cancer incidence among <i>BRCA</i> mutation carriers. <b>(Tier 1)</b>	(1;4;5)	
	Screening methods for ovarian cancer have not been shown to be effective among women with <i>BRCA</i> mutations; however, annual gynecological exam, vaginal ultrasonography, and serum 125 (CA-125) can be used for screening. <b>(Tier 1)</b>	(7)	
	Male carriers are recommended to have an annual breast exam. Information on effectiveness was not provided. <b>(Tier 2)</b>	(8)	
Family Management	Family members at risk of carrying a <i>BRCA</i> mutation should undergo genetic testing to determine their genetic risk. <b>(Tier 1)</b>	(4)	
	First degree relatives of a carrier of a <i>BRCA</i> mutation who have not undergone genetic testing themselves are recommended to undergo the same surveillance as carriers. <b>(Tier 2)</b>	(8)	
Circumstances to Avoid	Oral contraceptive use has been shown to be protective against ovarian cancer. However, some studies have suggested that oral contraceptive use increases the risk of breast cancer in women with <i>BRCA</i> mutations, though this effect has not been consistently demonstrated. <b>(Tier 2)</b>	(8;9)	

## Stage II: Summary Report

### Incidental Findings in Adults

Non-diagnostic, excludes newborn screening & prenatal testing/screening

#### Description of sources of evidence:

**Tier 1:** Evidence from a systematic review, or a meta-analysis or clinical practice guideline clearly based on a systematic review

**Tier 2:** Evidence from clinical practice guidelines or broad-based expert consensus with non-systematic evidence review

**Tier 3:** Evidence from another source with non-systematic review of evidence with primary literature cited

**Tier 4:** Evidence from another source with non-systematic review of evidence with no citations to primary data sources

**Tier 5:** Evidence from a non-systematically identified source

GENE/GENE PANEL:		DISORDER:
Topic	Narrative Description of Evidence	Ref
<b>3. What is the chance that this threat will materialize?</b>		
<b>Mode of Inheritance</b>	Autosomal dominant	
<b>Prevalence of Genetic Mutations</b>	<i>BRCA</i> mutations have an estimated 0.2-0.3% prevalence in the general population and 2.1% among women with Ashkenazi Jewish heritage. <b>(Tier 1)</b>	(1)
<b>Penetrance</b> <b>OR</b> <b>Relative Risk</b> <small>(include high risk racial or ethnic subgroups)</small>	Clinically significant mutations in <i>BRCA1</i> are associated with a 46-57% risk of breast cancer and 40% risk of ovarian cancer by age 70. <i>BRCA2</i> mutations are associated with a 50% risk of breast cancer and almost 20% risk of ovarian cancer by age 70. <b>(Tier 1)</b> Information on relative risk was unavailable.	(1;10)
<b>Expressivity</b>	The pathologic and clinical characteristics of tumors can differ by the type of mutation, including progression and variability in estrogen, progesterone, and human epidermal growth factor receptor status. <b>(Tier 3)</b>	(1)
<b>4. What is the nature of the intervention?</b>		
<b>Nature of Intervention</b>	The interventions identified in this report include prophylactic surgery to remove target organs, invasive screening tests, and medications with potential side effects.	
<b>5. Would the underlying risk or condition escape detection prior to harm in the setting of recommended care?</b>		
<b>Chance to Escape Clinical Detection</b>	Current screening procedures for women of average risk are not likely to detect breast and ovarian cancer at an early enough stage to cure the disease. The age at onset of breast cancer is typically prior to age 50, before the start of typical surveillance among average risk populations. Ovarian cancer is typically metastatic when diagnosed, thus risk-reducing BSO bilateral salpingo-oophorectomy is currently the only effective strategy to reduce the risk of dying from ovarian cancer. <b>(Tier 1)</b>	(1;4)

Final Consensus Scores						
Gene(s)	Outcome/intervention pair	Severity	Likelihood	Effectiveness	Nature of the Intervention	Total Score
<i>BRCA1</i>	Breast Cancer/Surveillance	2	3A	2A	3	10AA
	Breast Cancer/Mastectomy	2	3A	3A	1	9AA
	Ovarian Cancer/Oophorectomy	2	2A	3A	1	8AA
<i>BRCA2</i>	Breast Cancer/Surveillance	2	3A	2A	3	10AA
	Breast Cancer/Mastectomy	2	3A	3A	1	9AA
	Ovarian Cancer/Oophorectomy	2	2A	3A	1	8AA

To see the scoring key, please go to: <https://clinicalgenome.org/working-groups/actionability/projects-initiatives/actionability-evidence-based-summaries/>.

## Stage II: Summary Report

### Incidental Findings in Adults

Non-diagnostic, excludes newborn screening & prenatal testing/screening

**Date of Search (MM.DD.YYYY): 01.28.2014**

### **References**

1. Nelson HD, Rongwei F, Goddard K, Mitchell JP, Okinaka-Hu L, Pappas M, et al. Risk Assessment, Genetic Counseling, And Genetic Testing for BRCA-Related Cancer: Systematic Review to Update the U.S. Preventive Services Task Force Recommendation. Evidence Synthesis Number 101. 2013. Report No.: AHRQ Publication No. 12-05164-EF-1.
2. Daly MB, Axilbund JE, Buys SS, Crawford B, Friendman S, Garber JE, et al. Genetic/Familial High-Risk Assessment:Breast and Ovarian. National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCC); 2013. Report No.: Version 4.2013.
3. Lee EH, Park SK, Park B, Kim SW, Lee MH, Ahn SH, et al. Effect of BRCA1/2 mutation on short-term and long-term breast cancer survival: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Breast Cancer Res Treat 2010 Jul;122(1):11-25.
4. Moyer VA. Risk Assessment, Genetic Counseling, and Genetic Testing for BRCA-Related Cancer in Women: U.S. Preventive Services Task Force Recommendation Statement. Ann Intern Med 2013 Dec 24;([Epub ahead of print]).
5. National Collaborating Centre for Cancer. Classification and care of people at risk of familial breast cancer and management of breast cancer and related risks in people with a family history of breast cancer. London (UK): National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE); 2013 Jun. Report No.: Clinical guideline; no. 164.
6. New Zealand Guidelines Group. Management of early breast cancer. Wellington (NZ): New Zealand Guidelines Group (NZGG); 2009.
7. Association of Comprehensive Cancer Centres (ACCC). Ovarian carcinoma. Amsterdam, The Netherlands: Association of Comprehensive Cancer Centres (ACCC); 2009.
8. Alberta Provincial Breast Tumour Team. Risk reduction and surveillance strategies for individuals at high genetic risk for breast and ovarian cancer. Edmonton (Alberta): Alberta Health Services, Cancer Care; 2011 Apr. Report No.: Clinical practice guideline, no BR-011.
9. American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists (ACOG). Use of hormonal contraception in women with coexisting medical conditions. Washington (DC): American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists (ACOG); 2006. Report No.: ACOG practice bulletin; no. 73.
10. Chen S, Parmigiani G. Meta-analysis of BRCA1 and BRCA2 penetrance. J Clin Oncol 2007 Apr 10;25(11):1329-33.